



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,494	10/30/2001	Jesse Donaldson	PALM-3674	1309
41066 7590 06/04/2007 MURABITO, HAO & BARNES, LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			EXAMINER KINDRED, ALFORD W	
			ART UNIT 2163	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/021,494	Applicant(s) DONALDSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Alford W. Kindred	Art Unit 2163	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 33-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 33-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 5/07/07.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 33-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al, US# 2004/0048503 A1, in view of Wu, US# 6,442,570.

As per claims 33 and 35, Mills et al. teaches "said removable memory detachably coupled to a portable electronic device" (see page 3, paragraph [0035]-[0038]) "in response to a selection of a file to copy to said removable memory . . . in response to said associating, automatically copying said file to said removable memory" (see page, paragraph [page 4, paragraph [0045]-[0046]]). Mills et al. does not explicitly teach "displaying a plurality of location identifiers comprising a location identifier of a removable memory." Wu teaches "displaying a plurality of location identifiers comprising a location identifier of a removable memory" (see col. 5, lines 16-64). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Mills and Wu above, because using the steps of "displaying a plurality of location identifiers comprising a location identifier of a removable memory" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to process issues

regarding portable devices more efficiently. This gives user the advantage of copying data to the proper device more accurately.

As per claim 34, Mills et al. teaches "removable memory is a SD (secure digital) card, a MMC . . . a SmartMedia card" (see page 5, paragraphs [0051]-[0052], page 4, paragraph [0044], and page 6, paragraphs [0057] and [0058]).

As per claim 36, Mills et al. teaches "said file is selected from one or more files displayed on a display of said portable electronic device . . . at least one of text and an icon" (page 10, paragraph [0147]-[0149]).

As per claim 37, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33 and 35 and are similarly rejected.

As per claim 38, Mills et al. teaches "displaying a visual indicator associated with said file and for indicating that said files resides on said removable memory after said copying" (see page 10, [0147]-[0149]).

As per claims 39-44, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33-38 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 45-50, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33-38 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 51-60, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33-38 and are similarly rejected.

***Response to Arguments***


4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Conclusion**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Alford W. Kindred  
Patent Examiner  
Tech Ctr. 2100